

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,387	04/20/2005	Luis Castro Gomez	027318-00004	6606
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 03/08/200 PLLC	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/518,387	GOMEZ, LUIS CASTRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eileen P. Morgan	3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2006.					
		action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
		yn from consideration				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
		ologion roquitoment.				
	on Papers	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	= ' '	• •			
🗖	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	_		on No.			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	- ·					
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inforr						
C Detect and T	rademark Office	<del></del>				

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The amendment filed 11-30-06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: page 1, [0002] in its entirety, In [0003], last line; in [0006] newly added 3 lines; in[0020] all of the newly added lines. These additions are not supported by the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are totally unclear. Claim 1, 'high pitched noise' refers to what? The operation of the saw or the operation of the saw cutting stone?. What is the noise from? The following lack antecedent basis: 'the grit'; 'the grit concentration'; 'the sludge'; 'the sound level'; 'the measuring device'; 'the stones' (claim 2); claim 3-'the sludge'; 'the machine'; 'the maximum'. Claim 1, how are 'reducing the grit' and 'increasing the

Application/Control Number: 10/518,387

concentration' part of the stone cutting method? The grit of what? Is grit part of the saw for cutting? What is the function of the sludge? There are actual no steps that pertain to sawing a piece of stone as recited in the preamable. It is unclear how the grit and sludge are related. What does 'a greatest advance' refer to? Advance of the saw? The stone? How is this achieved? What is 'the sound level' referring to? The sound of the rotating saw? Or the saw cutting through the stone? What is the 'measuring apparatus' measuring? Claim 2, 'the grit used to saw' is unclear. Is the grit doing the sawing? How? Is the grit on the saw or in a slurry? This is unclear. The phrase 'having a difficulty level' is unclear. What does this mean? What has this level? What do the reference numbers '4,5,1,2' refer to? How is this determined? Claim 3, how is sludge entering a machine? What 'machine' is this? The last line is totally unclear. What has a 'size'? what is the 'maximum' referring to? Maximum of what? The reference to 210g per liter is unclear. What does this refer to?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are, as best understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okanishi et al.-5,697,359.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,387

Art Unit: 3723

Okanishi discloses a an abrasive saw for reducing noise while cutting stone comprising a cutting disk body having cutting regions (2) of abrasive grit and binder on the periphery.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-30-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The newly amended specification and claims are so unclear that the rejection over Okanishi meets the limitations as best understood.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P. Morgan whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/518,387

Art Unit: 3723

571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7am-

3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EM

February 25, 2007

Eileen P. Morgan Primary Examiner Page 5